

IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL PUNE BENCHES "A", PUNE

BEFORE DR.MANISH BORAD, ACCOUNTANT MEMBER AND MS. ASTHA CHANDRA, JUDICIAL MEMBER

आयकर अपील सं. / ITA No.1306/PUN/2024 Assessment Year : 2017-18

ITO, Ward-9(3),	Vs.	Shri Agrasen Maharaj Nagari	
Pune		Sahakari Pathsanstha Maryadit.	
		Office No.11, Yashwantrao Chavan	
		Sankul, Lovavala, Maval,	
		Pune 410 401	
		Maharashtra	
		PAN: AAJCS0457K	
Appellant		Respondent	

Assessee by	:	Mr. Akshay Ram Deshmukh
Revenue by	:	Shri Sandeep P. Sathe
Date of hearing	:	16.01.2025
Date of pronouncement	:	21.01.2025

<u> आदेश / ORDER</u>

PER DR. MANISH BORAD, ACCOUNTANT MEMBER:

This appeal filed by the Revenue pertaining to the Assessment Year 2017-18 is directed against the order dated 10.04.2024 passed by National Faceless Appeal Centre, Delhi u/s.250 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (in short 'the Act') which in turn is arising out of the Assessment order dated 20.03.2022 passed u/s.147 r.w.s.144 r.w.s.144B of the Act.



2. Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that the assessee is Cooperative Society registered under the Maharashtra a Cooperative Societies Act, 1960. The assessee is engaged in the business of accepting deposits and providing credit facilities to Based on the information available in ITBA its members. module that the assessee inter alia made Time deposit of Rs.12.00 lakh and cash deposit of Rs.1.10 crore with Lonavala Sahakari Bank Maryadit, the case was reopened by way of issuance of notice u/s.148 of the Act. The assessee in response to notice u/s.142(1) of the act, the assessee stated that there are two PAN number. PAN Number AAMAS4518Q is in respect of Bhagwan Shree Agrasen Nagari Sahakari Pathsanstha and PAN Number AAJCS0457K is in respect of Shri Agrasen Maharaj Nagari Sahakari Pathsanstha Maryadit on which the case is reopened. He submitted that PAN AAJCS0457K has been cancelled and intimated to the same to the department. The assessee is filing the regular income-tax return on New PAN Number AMAS4518Q. However, ld. AO was not satisfied with the explanation of the assessee by holding that there is no relevance between these two PAN numbers as they pertain to different entities and Neither the name of the assessee is identical nor the PAN. Thus, the AO determined the income of the assessee at Rs.1.24 crore.



3. Dissatisfied assessee preferred appeal before the ld.CIT(A) and the ld.CIT(A) gave succour to the assessee by holding as under:

"4. CIT(A)'s Decision:

The appellant given written submissions and grounds of appeal have been carefully perused. The assessing officer had made an addition on account of unexplained cash deposits of Rs. 1,22,40,470/-, had made an addition of Rs. Rs. 26,423/- w.r.t. interest on deposit with MSEDCL on the analogy that appellant failed to furnish any details and made an addition of Rs. 1,45,090/-w.r.t. commission/brokerage received from MSEDCL on the analogy that appellant failed to furnish any details called for.

In this regard, the appellant assessee had submitted before the assessing officer that the re-opening of the case was done against the old PAN AAJCS0457K of the appellant assessee, whereas the appellant has already filed the return of income for the same AY 2017-18 with new PAN AAMAS4875Q. The appellant had also intimated to the AO that they have obtained the new PAN in April of 2015 for want of change of 4th character being AOP and not as the company. The appellant assessee further submitted before the AO that both the PAN numbers belong to the appellant assessee and due to change of PAN from the year 2015, regular return of income for AY 2017-18 is filed with new PAN. The appellant further claims that the cash credits on which addition is made are already accounted for in the regular books of accounts, are part of income declared in the ROI filed in the regular course. The assessing officer without considering the submissions made by the appellant concluded the assessment proceedings by passing the order against the old PAN of the appellant.

In the appellate proceedings, the appellant had again submitted with adequate documents that the PAN against which the assessment order u/s 144 rws 147 is passed was cancelled and new PAN was obtained by the appellant society in April 2015. On examination of both PAN from the documents submitted, it was seen that the name of appellant assessee in both the PAN are same and only the difference



is the 4th character which was originally C and is modified in to A in the new PAN. The appellant also submitted that during the similar proceedings related to other AYs 2015-16 and 2018-19 in the appellant assessee's case for the PAN AAJCS0457K u/s 148A(2)(d), this contention of the appellant was accepted by the AO. Further, the appellant had also submitted the details of different communication from the year 2017 onwards to AO for cancellation of old PAN AAJCS0457K, which was not carried out by the AO. In addition, the appellant has also filed and affidavit dt 21.04.2022 stating the above facts. Therefore, it can be said that the AO didn't pay even a heed of attention to the submissions/claims made by the appellant. As, the appellant submitted that they had intimated to it's jurisdictional assessing officer about filing of the return of income for AY 2015-16 under new PAN by taking into account of cash deposits as its receipts in the books of accounts and also assessment was already made against that ROI for the same AY and informed reason for change of PAN, I am of the opinion that reopening of assessment proceedings u/s 147 in the name of old PAN AAJCS0457K is not in accordance with the provisions of the Act. Hence, the grounds of appellant, in this regard are hereby allowed."

- 4. Now the Revenue is in appeal before us that ld.CIT(A) erred in giving relief to the assessee without providing an opportunity to the AO and without adjudicating the additions made by the Assessing Officer.
- 5. We have heard both the sides and perused the record placed before us. We find the ld.CIT(A) gave relief to the assessee on verifying the PAN data of the assessee and information of change in the PAN is in the knowledge of the department. Further, for the A.Yrs. 2015-16 and 2018-19, the



similar contentions putforth by the assessee have been accepted by the department. The communication regarding cancellation of the old PAN AAJCS0457K has also been made by the assessee which the Assessing Officer has not carried out. It is also a fact that on new PAN AAMAS4875Q the assessee is filing the regular income-tax returns. In the light of these facts, the ld.CIT(A) held that reopening of assessment proceedings is not in accordance with the provisions of the Act. In absence of any contrary material brought to our notice by the Revenue, we are disinclined to hold that the impugned order suffers infirmity and therefore no interference is called for.

6. In the result, the appeal of the Revenue is dismissed.

Order pronounced on this 21st day of January, 2025.

(ASTHA CHANDRA)
JUDICIAL MEMBER

(MANISH BORAD)
ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

पुणे / Pune; दिनांक / Dated : 21st January, 2025. Satish



आदेश की प्रतिलिपि अग्रेषित / Copy of the Order forwarded to:

- 1. अपीलार्थी / The Appellant.
- 2. प्रत्यर्थी / The Respondent.
- 3. The Pr. CIT concerned.
- 4. विभागीय प्रतिनिधि, आयकर अपीलीय अधिकरण, "**A**" बेंच, पुणे / DR, ITAT, "**A**" Bench, Pune.
- 5. गार्ड फ़ाइल / Guard File.

आदेशानुसार / BY ORDER,

// True Copy //

Senior Private Secretary आयकर अपीलीय अधिकरण, पुणे / ITAT, Pune.